

Refinding the Object and Reclaiming the Self

Therapeutic Transformation of Screen Memories

David E. Scharff M.D.

Therapeutic Transformation of Screen Memories

**Refinding the Object and
Reclaiming the Self**

David E. Scharff, M.D.

From *Refinding the Object and Reclaiming the Self* Copyright © 1992 by David E. Scharff

e-Book 2020 International Psychotherapy
Institute

All Rights Reserved

This e-book contains material protected under International and Federal Copyright Laws and Treaties. This e-book is intended for personal use only. Any unauthorized reprint or use of this material is prohibited. No part of this book may be used in any commercial manner without express permission of the author. Scholarly use of quotations must have proper attribution to the published work. This work may not be deconstructed, reverse engineered or reproduced in any other format.

Created in the United States of America

The author gratefully acknowledges permission to reprint or adapt from the following:

"Painful Memories" in *Medical Aspects of Human Sexuality*, June 1985. Adapted by permission of Medical Aspects of Human Sexuality.

THE THERAPEUTIC TRANSFORMATION OF SCREEN MEMORIES

Early memories, both painful and pleasant, tend to represent condensations of important early situations, relationships, and feelings that have been frozen in time. These memories, which are often introduced early in therapy and psychoanalysis, are condensations that convey the surface of internal object relations just as dreams and fantasies do. As such, they can provide important clues to events and

relationships that subtly but surely continue to have a significant effect on a patient's life through their internalization as psychic structure.

The condensations that have made up these memories have particular meanings related to internal organization. They are carried forward as substantial components that have the vividness and feel of reality to the person who remembers them, but the reality they express is most often a psychic reality. From the beginning, psychic reality has built in exactly the kinds of distortions that the child's limited capacity for understanding and painful life circumstances require him or her to build into internal object structures. (We will explore these built-in distortions further in Chapter 11.)

Patients who explore even the most pleasant early memories in the course of psychotherapy and analysis discover that these memories are usually compensations for painful situations of rejection, frustration, family strife, or neglect. The memory is formed as a consequence of resolving an unpleasant circumstance, but the healing may have occurred in fantasy. For example, in therapy the remembrance of a fond embrace by a parent enlarges suddenly to include the parent's painful absence that preceded the fondly remembered reunion. Making such a therapeutic connection enables the patient to see how the pleasant memory, although expressing the treasured relationship to a parent, has also had a defensive role in supporting the repression of a painful event. Many patients' earliest memories have such dual

functions. Pleasant, vivid early memories are not necessarily simple recollections of happy times. Often they serve to cover unhappiness too painful to be borne or remembered. They disguise the hopes for surcease of longing or feelings of exclusion from parental care. The memory becomes part of the lid on the repression of the painful aspects of the relationship. The progress of therapy can in part be marked by the progressive transformation of these memories as the patient comes slowly to understand more of the external reality at the time of the remembered events and more of the internal psychological reality concerning how the original situations contributed to the way he or she structured the memories.

EARLIEST MEMORIES

During therapy, recall of memories from earliest childhood usually involves events that occurred at age 3 or 4. Some patients claim recall for earlier events, but these memories have often been externally reconstructed or reinforced by family discussion or photographs. Some events, however, are undoubtedly recalled by certain people from the age of 2, such as the famous dream of his parents' intercourse recalled by Freud's "Wolfman" from his second year (Freud 1918). Memories for these early childhood events are almost always modified in meaning during growth and maturation.

The analytic term *screen memory* evocatively refers to the same kind of phenomenon as that of a dream screen, a surface on which internal events are projected with errors in scale. Experiences are projected and

combined to form an image that has coherence and surface meaning and yet also contains the mystery of its latent meaning. The resulting early memory may be of an actual event, a fairy story, a movie, or even a childhood fantasy. Such memories are of particular interest because their existence underscores the fact that the person has forgotten the vast bulk of his or her experience occurring before and at the time of the early memory, including usually the context for these early life events. The forgetting involves not only passive erosion of memory, but active splitting off from conscious memory and repression, a process of exclusion that was Freud's (1895) earliest rationale for using dynamic psychotherapy and psychoanalysis.

Not all early memories are explicitly sexual, but sexual memories are most often subject to

intense repression. For instance, patients who have witnessed parental intercourse during early childhood may be unable to remember the event. Instead, they remember another traumatic incident with all the emotion that would probably have accompanied the memory of intruding on the parents or of having slept regularly in their room. Recent work on childhood sexual abuse indicates that if sexual or other abusive treatment is sufficiently traumatic, repression will be strengthened so far that mental structure is changed into a dissociative form in the pathological exaggeration of the normal process of maintaining the capacity to split and repress painful experience (Terr 1991). For these patients and for those subjected to less extreme difficulty, examination of early memories in the course of psychotherapy will

facilitate the emergence of the original meanings.

Adam, the 28-year-old man described in Chapter 1, began psychoanalysis to deal with his inability to work as an engineer after finishing graduate school. His unemployment meant that he was dependent on his fiancée Sheila for financial support, as he had been on his first wife during college and graduate school. It was not long before he told me of his consuming jealousy over Sheila. In his vivid jealous fantasies, Adam imagined Sheila in bed with each of her previous boyfriends. He frequently badgered her with questions about her earlier sexual experiences. He worried that she would not tolerate his insistent behavior and would leave him.

At the beginning of analysis, Adam easily recalled two early events from the age of 3. In the first, he was shut out of the house by his mother. The front door slammed in his face. Lonely and hungry,

he wandered to a neighbor's house and was given some food, but he felt guilty for taking it because his mother would not have approved.

In the second memory, Adam's parents had taken him and his younger sister to see a new house they were building. Adam and his sister had been playing in the yard when he fell and cut his knee. He ran bleeding to his parents in the house. His father, seeing him enter the doorway, shouted heartlessly for Adam to stop. Even more cruelly, he kept Adam's mother from going to give comfort.

These two memories came up repeatedly in analysis because they were the earliest instances in which Adam could recall feelings similar to those that brought him to treatment. Slowly he shifted from a tenacious sense of outrage at his father to a dawning recognition that the remembered exclusion, hurt, and guilt were not justified by the events. It was only after two years in analysis that he

suddenly linked this memory with what he had always known about his sense of childhood exclusion. His mother had had three children at yearly intervals beginning when he was 13 months old.

A memory now emerged in which his father barred him from a room in which his mother was nursing his baby brother. Adam was now able to make sense of the screen memory of being shut out, lonely, and hungry. He connected this to something he had been told but that had never struck him emotionally. He had apparently slept in his parents' room during his first year, until the birth of the next sibling, the sister who accompanied him in his second memory of the new house. That memory, too, now took on new meaning as he understood the sense of exclusion and anger he must have experienced when she was born. He had wanted not only to have more time with his mother, as in the memory of hungry wandering, but to actively challenge both

this infant sister and his father for a place with his mother.

Expanding on the second memory, he now recalled the real story of the cut knee. He must have felt more and more excluded over those early years and must have felt particularly excluded when his parents entered the new house together, leaving him with his sister outside. He had begun to compensate for his loneliness and rejection by showing off to his little sister, trying to impress her in a "manly" way by jumping off a wheelbarrow. It was in attempting this narcissistic daredevil act with his sister as a compensatory object that he fell and cut his knee on construction equipment. Feeling hurt and belittled, Adam had rushed to his mother for solace. When his father shouted to keep the boy away lest the blood stain a new, as yet unsealed wood floor, Adam reexperienced the rejection of being kept from mother by father. In sum, he experienced a rejection by mother grafted onto an oedipal defeat. Thus this

traumatic memory dating back to the age of 3 was a condensation whose simplicity belied the complex structure of painful object relations already built out of experiences with his family up to that point. The memory then structured and conveyed the internal object constellation, which expressed itself through repeated similarly painful longings and rejections that continued to dominate his adolescent and adult relationships. The reworking of these memories was both a vehicle and a benchmark in the transformation of his internal object relations.

At times the falsification of early memory that occurs when it is stripped of a context that would make it understandable is revealed by external life events. These moments offer opportunities for therapeutic growth, nevertheless.

A 36-year-old man, Eric, was certain about the facts of a particular childhood

event. As an adult, he had often laughed at himself for the feelings that this event generated in him. The event was as follows. He remembered his enthusiasm over his brother's birth when he was 3½ years old. But when his parents brought the baby home from the hospital, they refused to let Eric hold the infant. He thought his parents had used reasonable caution in refusing to let him hold the newborn. In his own therapy, he had understood that his childhood outrage reflected the jealousy and displacement he had felt over many childhood years toward his parents concerning his brother.

Eric was surprised to discover, in a forgotten cache of family home movies, the film of his newborn brother's homecoming some 33 years earlier. In the crowning scene, his parents smilingly put the baby in his lap and doted on Eric, the proud and pampered older brother. It was only then that Eric could appreciate the extent to which his hurt during the intervening years had altered his memory

to justify his jealousy toward the brother whom he also loved.

MEMORIES OF LATER CHILDHOOD

Childhood memories from the latency period are usually associated with better recall of the context of painful episodes. But splitting of the experience still occurs. People may isolate their memories from the full impact of a family context or may overload one episode with painful affect in order to make the surrounding period appear more benign. The most painful recollections usually represent condensations of circumstances and relationships. Latency-age memories may appear to make more sense due to the enhanced ability for rational thought and recall of the latency child. Memories of shame, humiliation, or inadequacy are particularly

painful in later childhood. Since the older child has a greater capacity for remembering, these memories cannot be repressed as easily as similar earlier experiences.

During the course of psychotherapy, Alan, age 30, recalled a shameful memory of fecal retention and soiling while attending summer camp for 2 months at the young age of 8. He recalled his fear of being ridiculed by the other campers while using the public toilets and his dread of a bully who slept next to him in his cabin. The thought of camp remained painful to him, fusing his sense of bodily shame with a pervasive personal feeling of inadequacy.

In psychotherapy, Alan connected his fecal retention and sense of inadequacy during this first long summer away from home with a more general anxiety and realized that his parents must have been at war with each other during that period. Although they did not separate until a

year later, he understood in retrospect that his father was having affairs, his mother was lonely and angry, and that his father's harshness and rejection before Alan left for camp were a reflection of the father's difficulty in accepting his wife's preference for closeness with her son and guilt about the imminent break-up of the family.

Alan had developed the retention of stool because he felt humiliated by the public nature of the bathroom without walls between toilets, exposing him to the scrutiny of older boys and men. He had projected his father's anger and disapproval onto them with, of course, no awareness of doing so. When, in the therapy, Alan became able to understand his retention and the fecal leakage that eventually followed as expressing this situation, he could empathize with his childhood loneliness, the longing for his loving mother, and the fearful longing for his angry and anxious father. He could also grasp that his love for his mother had

in some way come between his parents and so provoked attacks by his father at home and by the cruel father substitutes he projected into peers and counselors at camp. This realization, coming to him as an adult, considerably relieved his residual feelings of shame and inadequacy.

Following this work on understanding his projection of a parental transference into counselors, Alan was able to see his therapist as someone whose commitment he could trust. Alan decided to intensify his treatment, and began psychoanalysis a month later. When the camp memory came up for reworking a year later, Alan recognized that he had split his image of his father into the bad one he feared would haunt him in the form of contemptuous counselors and older boys, and the good one he tried to keep inside and hold onto in the form of a fecal object. His anal dilemma, which contained the attempt to hold onto an object no matter how threatening, diverted him from facing

what would analytically be called castration anxiety, the fear about competing with the older boys at camp and ultimately about fantasies of challenging and ousting his father.

ADOLESCENT MEMORIES

In adolescence, unconscious falsification of memories still occurs. Severe falsifications are seen in adolescents who have disturbances that are already well developed. The following case presents the memories of a borderline girl in whom there was already a history of traumatic loss, illustrating the way in which sexual issues often intertwine traumatically with aggressive fantasies.

Judy Green, a 14-year-old girl whose family is described in Chapter 8, was admitted to the hospital following the

ingestion of 100 aspirin tablets. She said that a month before the hospitalization she had witnessed a car backing over an infant who was killed instantly. She insisted that she could have saved the infant but had wished the baby dead and therefore had failed to shout. Judy seemed to believe this story, claiming it was the cause of her guilt and suicidal depression. Witnesses, however, clearly established that she had not been present at this tragic episode. She had falsified a memory to fit an otherwise nameless guilt.

In psychotherapy, we eventually came to see that this invented memory of near murderous intent was linked to many aspects of her inner turmoil. It gave form to her inner fantasies of destructiveness. She had had unprotected intercourse with a series of boys, flaunting her promiscuity and unconsciously begging her parents to stop her. She eventually acknowledged her disappointment that her mother unconsciously supported this desperate

sexual acting out. The murderous intent of which Judy's "memory" accused *her* in a distortion of her own sense of reality was the taking on of blame that she really felt toward her mother for letting her destroy herself. The falsified memory embodied Judy's unconscious accusation of her mother. We found further sources for this blame in Judy's early sexualized attachment to her father, who, though not abusive, was apparently seductive with Judy when he felt rejected by Judy's mother. He had died when Judy was 6. Later, beginning at the age of 10, Judy had a year-long incestuous relationship with her brother, who was then 13. The distorted memory of the baby's death incorporated the feeling of these cumulative periods of neglect, loss, and unfulfilled longing to be babied herself, and the murderous yet longing feelings toward a fantasied baby born of fantasized oedipal union with her dead father and the fantasy's enactment with her brother.

The distortions of memory by Judy, which resemble normal distortions of events by young children, are signs of severe pathology in adolescence. Judy's dissociative and borderline organizations are common in adolescents who have had severe traumatic loss in earlier years, so that the process of formation and storage of memories, the severe splitting of self and object become prominent. In the course of therapy, the mending of the memories is a sign and path to the mending and integration of their selves and their object relations.

DISTORTION OF ADULT MEMORIES

It is not only memories of childhood and adolescence that are distorted in painful circumstances. Adult memories are subject to similar influences. Therapy with Judy's family two years later revealed distortion of painful

memories by the adults, in this case her mother and stepfather. In the absence of borderline conditions or psychosis, the distortions usually represent failures to establish connections between events, rather than overt falsifications.

Judy could by now test reality normally. The family was hard at work trying to understand its earlier history. In a family session, Judy's mother, Mrs. Green, recalled that several years earlier, her 2-year-old son Bob had wandered out of the house and onto a median divide of a highway. To her, this showed how uncontrollable Bob had been. But something clicked for Judy, who was now well schooled in making therapeutic connections. She remembered that this event occurred not long after the period of her incestuous relationship with her older brother. With this contribution to the family picture, they were able together to draw back the curtain on a larger memory of a time of shared loneliness and fear. Mr.

and Mrs. Green now recalled their unhappiness during the early days of their marriage when Mrs. Green was unable to take care of her five children and languished in bed while Mr. Green, an older man who had been a bachelor until age 40, felt overwhelmed with his sudden new responsibilities. Following these revelations, the family achieved an enlarged capacity to digest the past, which had continued to haunt them because up to this time they had unconsciously agreed to suppress it lest the events overwhelm them again. In this session they admitted to themselves that the baby's unsupervised wandering happened in the context of parental neglect, which was the consequence of the desperation each of them had felt through those years, feeling neglected and overwhelmed themselves. Once Judy's adolescent symptomatology was no longer split off from the context of family memory, the Greens and Judy herself could understand it as an expression of the shared despair of the

family as a group and could move to offer each other a strengthened holding built now on mutual understanding. The family's enhanced individual capacity to rework old memories, which is demonstrated in Chapter 8, contributed directly and substantially to the family's enhanced holding capacity.

Just as adolescents find memories of inadequacy or shame before peers painful, so adults, exposing childish vulnerabilities during therapy, find the process threatening. Incidents of feeling weak or unloved may also be the subject of painful recollections and retrospective falsification, as in Judy's family. Some of these may be memories associated with post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic episodes of war, or episodes in which the person was helpless to prevent a mugging or rape. Recurrent nightmares, flashbacks, or ruminations offer an

incremental reliving of the event in attempts at psychological mastery.

In the case of familywide distortion of experience, family members can work therapeutically toward sharing memories of a painful period or circumstances. In their previous need to minimize the pain felt by each individual member through a combination of defensive processes and patterns of interaction, they have constructed altered psychological realities that explain the events in superficially less painful ways (Reiss 1981). The Green family-wide distortion had been perpetuated by a series of interactions among various members and with the wider world. The trauma was then lived out in Judy's sexual behavior and in other family symptomatology, for instance, in the poor school performance of a younger brother. Action

and interaction had thus become a substitute for remembrance, both collectively and individually (Freud 1914). The incestuous episodes between Judy and her brother and her later adolescent turmoil and suicide attempt all served to keep alive the family's "memory" of unhappy relationships and events, but also to keep it buried from view by the family. Individual and family therapy enabled each member, and the family as a whole, to review and revive their memories and to locate their meanings in the family's history. Once this was done, family members were empowered to remember and digest instead of continuing to act out the forgotten events.

Painful memories are handled differently by the growing child, the adolescent, and the adult. As we have seen, these memories most often

represent condensed images of a time of distress and conflict. They may occur at any age and involve the pain of feeling weak, unloved, or humiliated. A young child can more readily repress the context of the memories or the memories themselves because repression and forgetting are appropriate to this stage of development and the contemporaneous kind of psychological organization. Repression is less feasible for adolescents or adults, who instead employ subtle or overt forms of retrospective falsification unless they already suffer from ego-splitting, dissociation, or borderline personality organization.

Families often unconsciously agree as a group to try to “forget” or falsify certain events. But when this occurs, we often see the memory preserved silently by a series of repetitive

interpersonal interactions. Therapeutic investigation of the retrospective falsifications and corresponding interactions among family members leads to an examination of the experience of self and object in the intrapsychic dimension of each family member and in the pooled unconscious organization of the family group. This work is illustrated further by the Green family in Chapter 8.

REFERENCES

- Abelin, E. (1971). The role of the father in the separation-individualization process. In *Separation-Individuation*, ed. J. B. McDevitt and C. F. Settlage, pp. 229-252. New York: International Universities Press.
- _____ (1975). Some further observation and comments on the earliest role of the father. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 56:293-302.
- Ainsworth, M., and Wittig, B. (1969). Attachment and exploratory behavior in one-year-olds in a stranger situation. In *Determinants of Infant Behavior*, ed. B. M. Foss. 4:111-136. New York: Wiley.
- Ainsworth, M., Blehar, M., Waters, E., and Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of Attachment*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Aponte, H. J., and VanDeusen, J. M. (1981). Structural Family Therapy. In *Handbook of*

Family Therapy, ed. A. Gurman and D. Kniskern, pp. 310-360. New York: Brunner/Mazel.

Atwood, G., and Stolorow, R. (1984). *Structures of Subjectivity: Explorations in Psychoanalytic Phenomenology*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.

Auden, W. H. (1945). In memory of Sigmund Freud. In *The Collected Poetry of W. H. Auden*, pp. 163-167. New York: Random House.

Balint, M. (1952). *Primary Love and Psychoanalytic Technique*. London: Tavistock, 1965.

_____ (1957). *Problems of Human Pleasure and Behaviour*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1968). *The Basic Fault: Therapeutic Aspects of Regression*. London: Tavistock.

Bank, S. P., and Kahn, M. D. (1982). *The Sibling Bond*. New York: Basic Books.

Beebe, B., and Lachmann, F. M. (1988). The contribution of mother-infant mutual influence to the origins of self- and object representations. *Psychoanalytic Psychology* 5:305-337.

Bion, W. R. (1961). *Experiences in Groups and Other Papers*. London: Tavistock.

_____ (1967). *Second Thoughts*. London: Heinemann.

_____ (1970). *Attention and Interpretation: A Scientific Approach to Insight in Psycho-Analysis and Groups*. London: Tavistock.

Bios, P. (1967). The second individuation process of adolescence. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 22:162-186. New York: International Universities Press.

Bollas, C. (1987). *The Shadow of the Object*. New York: Columbia University Press.

_____ (1989). *Forces of Destiny: Psychoanalysis and Human Idiom*. London: Free Association.

Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and Loss, Vol. 1: Attachment*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1973). *Attachment and Loss, Vol. 2: Separation: Anxiety and Anger*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1980). *Attachment and Loss, Vol. 3: Loss: Sadness and Depression*. London: Hogarth

Press.

_____ (1988). *A Secure Base: Parent-Child Attachment and Healthy Human Development*. New York: Basic Books.

Box, S. (1981). Introduction: space for thinking in families. In *Psychotherapy with Families: An Analytic Approach*, ed. S. Box, B. Copley, J. Magagna, and E. Moustaki, pp. 1-8. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

_____ (1984). *Containment and countertransference*. Paper presented at the Washington School of Psychiatry, Fifth Annual Symposium on Psychoanalytic Family Therapy, Bethesda, MD, April.

Box, S., Copley, B., Magagna, J., and Moustaki, E. (1981). *Psychotherapy with Families: An Analytic Approach*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Brazelton, T. B. (1982). Joint regulation of neonate-parent behavior. In *Social Interchange in Infancy*, ed. E. Tronick, pp. 7-22. Baltimore: University Park Press.

Brazelton, T. B., Koslowski, B., and Main, M. (1974). The origins of reciprocity: the early mother-infant interaction. In *The Effect of the Infant on Its Caregiver*, ed. M. Lewis and L. A. Rosenblum. 1:49-76. New York: Wiley.

Brazelton, T. B., Yogman, M., Als, H., and Tronick, E. (1979). The infant as a focus for family reciprocity. In *The Child and Its Family*, ed. M. Lewis and L. A. Rosenblum, pp. 29-43. New York: Plenum Press.

Breuer, J., and Freud, S. (1895). Studies on hysteria. *Standard Edition 2*.

Buber, M. (1978). *I and Thou*. Trans. W. Kaufman and S. G. Smith. New York: Scribner.

Campos, J., and Stenberg, C. (1980). Perception of appraisal and emotion: the onset of social referencing. In *Infant Social Cognition*, eds. M. E. Lamb and L. Sherrod. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Casement, P. J. (1991). *Learning from the Patient*. New York: Guilford.

Davies, R. (1985). *What's Bred in the Bone*. Toronto: MacMillan.

- Dicks, H. V. (1967). *Marital Tensions: Clinical Studies Towards a Psychoanalytic Theory of Interaction*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- Donne, J. (1952). "The Canonization." In *The Complete Poetry and Selected Prose of John Donne*, ed. C. M. Coffin, pp. 13-14. New York: Modern Library.
- Duncan, D. (1981). A thought on the nature of psychoanalytic theory. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 62:339-349.
- _____ (1989). The flow of interpretation. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 70:693-700.
- _____ (1990). The feel of the session. *Psychoanalysis and Contemporary Thought* 13:3-22.
- _____ (1991). *What analytic therapy does*. Paper presented at the Washington School of Psychiatry Object Relations Theory Conference, Washington DC, May 5, 1991.
- Edgumbe, R., and Burgner, M. (1975). The phallic-narcissistic phase: a differentiation between pre-oedipal and oedipal aspects of phallic

development. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 30:160-180. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Emde, R. N. (1988a). Development terminable and interminable: I. Innate and motivational factors from infancy. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 69:23-42.

_____ (1988b). Development terminable and interminable: II. Recent psychoanalytic theory and therapeutic considerations. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 69:283-296.

Emde, R. N., Klingman, D. H., Reich, J. H., and Wade, J. D. (1978). Emotional expression in infancy: I. Initial studies of social signaling and an emergent model. In *The Development of Affect*, ed. M. Lewis and L. Rosenblum, pp. 125-148. New York: Plenum Press.

Emde, R. N., and Sorce, J. F. (1983). The rewards of infancy: emotional availability and maternal referencing. In *Frontiers of Infant Psychiatry, vol. 1*, ed. J. D. Call, E. Galenson, and R. Tyson, pp. 17-30. New York: Basic Books.

Erikson, E. H. (1950). *Childhood and Society*. Rev. ed. New York: Norton, 1963.

_____ (1959). *Identity and the Life Cycle. Psychological Issues*, Monograph 1. New York: International Universities Press.

Ezriel, H. (1950). A psychoanalytic approach to group treatment. *British Journal of Medical Psychology* 23:59-74.

_____ (1952). Notes on psychoanalytic group therapy II: interpretation and research. *Psychiatry* 15:119-126.

Fairbairn, W. R. D. (1940). Schizoid factors in the personality. In *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*, pp. 3-27. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1952.

_____ (1941). A revised psychopathology of the psychoses and psychoneuroses. In *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*, pp. 28-58. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1952.

_____ (1943). The repression and the return of bad objects (with special reference to the war neuroses). In *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*, pp. 59-81. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1952.

_____ (1944). Endopsychic structure considered in terms of object relationships. In *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*, pp. 82-136. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1952.

_____ (1951). A synopsis of the development of the author's views regarding the structure of the personality. In *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*, pp. 162-179. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1952.

_____ (1952). *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

_____ (1954). Observations on the nature of hysterical states. *British Journal of Medical Psychology* 27:105-125.

_____ (1958). The nature and aims of psychoanalytical treatment. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 39:374-385.

_____ (1963). Synopsis of an object-relations theory of the personality. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 44:224-225.

Freud, S. (1895). The psychotherapy of hysteria. *Standard Edition* 2: 255-305.

- _____ (1900). The interpretation of dreams. *Standard Edition* 4/5.
- _____ (1905a). Fragment of an analysis of a case of hysteria. *Standard Edition* 7:7-122.
- _____ (1905b). Three essays on the theory of sexuality. *Standard Edition* 7:135-243.
- _____ (1909). Notes upon a case of obsessional neurosis. *Standard Edition* 10:153-318.
- _____ (1910). Future prospects of psycho-analytic therapy. *Standard Edition* 11:141-151.
- _____ (1912a). The dynamics of transference. *Standard Edition* 12: 97-108.
- _____ (1912b). Recommendations to physicians practicing psychoanalysis. *Standard Edition* 12:111-120.
- _____ (1914). Remembering, repeating, and working through. *Standard Edition* 12:147-156.
- _____ (1915). Observations on transference love. *Standard Edition* 12:159-171.
- _____ (1917). Mourning and melancholia. *Standard Edition* 14:243-258.

_____ (1918). From the history of an infantile neurosis. *Standard Edition* 17:7-122.

_____ (1923). The ego and the id. *Standard Edition* 19:3-63.

_____ (1926). Inhibitions, symptoms, and anxiety. *Standard Edition* 20:87-174.

_____ (1937). Analysis terminable and interminable. *Standard Edition* 23:216-253.

Gill, M. (1984). Psychoanalysis and psychotherapy: a revision. *International Review of Psycho-Analysis* 11:161-169.

Gill, M., and Muslin, H. (1976). Early interpretation of transference. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 24:779-794.

Greenberg, J. R., and Mitchell, S. A. (1983). *Object Relations in Psychoanalytic Theory*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Greenson, R. (1967). *The Technique and Practice of Psychoanalysis*, Vol. I. New York: International Universities Press.

Guntrip, H. (1961). *Personality Structure and Human Interaction: The Developing Synthesis*

of Psychodynamic Theory. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1969). *Schizoid Phenomena, Object Relations and the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.

Hamilton, N. G. (1988). *Self and Others: Object Relations Theory in Practice*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Heimann, P. (1950). On counter-transference. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 31:81-84.

Hughes, J. M. (1989). *Reshaping the Psychoanalytic Domain: The Work of Melanie Klein, W. R. D. Fairbairn, & D. W. Winnicott*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Jacobs, T. J. (1991). *The Use of the Self*. Madison, CT: International Universities Press.

Jacques, E. (1965). Death and the mid-life crisis. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 46:502-514.

Jones, E. (1952). Foreword to W. R. D. Fairbairn's *Psychoanalytic Studies of the Personality*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Joseph, B. (1989). *Psychic Equilibrium and Psychic Change: The Selected Papers of Betty Joseph*, ed. E. B. Spillius and M. Feldman. London: Routledge, Chapman Hall.

Kernberg, O. (1975). *Borderline Conditions and Pathological Narcissism*. New York: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1976). *Object Relations Theory and Clinical Psychoanalysis*. New York: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1980). *Internal World and External Reality: Object Relations Theory Applied*. New York: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1984). *Severe Personality Disorders: Psychotherapeutic Strategies*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Khan, M. M. R. (1963). The concept of cumulative trauma. *The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 18:286-306. New York: International Universities Press.

_____ (1974). *The Privacy of the Self*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1979). *Alienation in Perversions*. New York: International Universities Press.

- Klein, M. (1928). Early stages of the Oedipus conflict. In *Love, Guilt and Reparation and Other Works, 1921-45*, pp. 186-198. London: Hogarth Press.
- _____ (1932). *The Psycho-Analysis of Children*. Trans. A. Strachey, Rev. A. Strachey and H. A. Thorner. London: Hogarth Press.
- _____ (1935). A contribution to the psychogenesis of manic-depressive states. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 16, pp. 145-174.
- _____ (1940). Mourning and its relation to manic-depressive states. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 21:125-153.
- _____ (1945). The Oedipus complex in the light of early anxieties. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 26:11-33.
- _____ (1946). Notes on some schizoid mechanisms. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 27:99-110.
- _____ (1948). *Contributions to Psychoanalysis, 1921-45*. London: Hogarth Press.
- _____ (1957). *Envy and Gratitude*. London: Tavistock.

_____ (1961). *Narrative of a Child Analysis*.
London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1975a). *Love, Guilt and Reparation, 1921-45*. New York: Delacorte Press.

_____ (1975b). *Envy and Gratitude and Other Works, 1946-1963*. London: Hogarth Press.

Klinnert, M. D.; Campos, J. J., Sorce, J. F., et al. (1983). Emotions as behavior regulators: social referencing in infancy. In *Emotion: Theory, Research and Experience*, vol. 2, ed. R. Plutchik and H. Kellerman, pp. 57-86. New York: Academic Press.

Kohut, H. (1977). *The Restoration of the Self*. New York: International Universities Press.

_____ (1984). *How Does Analysis Cure?* Ed. A. Goldberg. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Levenson, E. (1983). *The Ambiguity of Change: An Inquiry into the Nature of Psychoanalytic Reality*. New York: Basic Books.

Levinson, D. J., Darrow, C. N., Klein, E. B., et al. (1978). *The Seasons of a Man's Life*. New York: Knopf.

Lichtenberg, J. (1983). *Psychoanalysis and Infant Research*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.

_____ (1989). *Psychoanalysis and Human Motivation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.

Lichtenstein, H. (1961). Identity and sexuality: a study of their inter-relationship in man. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 9:179-260.

Loewald, H. W. (1960). On the therapeutic action of psychoanalysis. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 41:16-33.

_____ (1980). *Papers on Psychoanalysis*. New Haven: Yale University Press.

McDougall, J. (1970). Homosexuality in women. In *Female Sexuality: New Psychoanalytic Views*, ed. J. Chasseguet-Smirgel, pp. 94-134. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press.

_____ (1985). *Theaters of the Mind: Illusion and Truth on the Psychoanalytic Stage*. New York: Basic Books.

_____ (1986). Identification, neoneeds, and neosexualities. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 67:19-33.

_____ (1989). *Theaters of the Body*. New York: Norton.

Meltzer, D. (1975). Adhesive identification. *Contemporary Psychoanalysis* 11:289-310.

Mitchell, S. A. (1988). *Relational Concepts in Psychoanalysis: An Integration*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Modell, A. (1984). *Psychoanalysis in a New Context*. Madison, CT: International Universities Press.

Money-Kyrle, R. (1956). Normal countertransference and some of its deviations. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 37:360-366.

_____ (1971). The aim of psychoanalysis. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 52:103-106.

_____ (1978). *The Collected Papers of Roger Money-Kyrle*. Ed. D. Meltzer and E. O'Shaughnessy. Strath Tay, Scotland: Clunie Press.

Muir, R. (1989). Fatherhood from the perspective of object relations theory and relational systems theory. Paper presented at Washington School of

Psychiatry's Annual Symposium on
Psychoanalytic Object Relations Family
Therapy, Bethesda, MD, March 18, 1989.

Ogden, T. H. (1982). *Projective Identification and
Psychotherapeutic Technique*. New York: Jason
Aronson.

_____ (1986). *The Matrix of the Mind*. Northvale,
NJ: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1989). *The Primitive Edge of Experience*.
Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Palombo, S. R. (1978). *Dreaming and Memory: A
New Information-Processing Model*. New York:
Basic Books.

Racker, H. (1968). *Transference and
Countertransference*. New York: International
Universities Press.

Reiss, D. (1981). *The Family's Construction of
Reality*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University
Press.

Sameroff, A. J., and Emde, R. N., eds. (1989).
*Relationship Disturbances in Early Childhood:
A Developmental Approach*. New York: Basic
Books.

- Sandler, J. (1976). Actualization and object relationships. *The Journal of the Philadelphia Association for Psychoanalysis* 3:59-70.
- Scharff, D. E. (1982). *The Sexual Relationship: An Object Relations View of Sex and the Family*. Boston: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
- _____ (1987). The infant's reinvention of the family. In *Object Relations Family Therapy*, by D. E. Scharff and J. S. Scharff, pp. 101-126. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.
- Scharff, D. E., and Hill, J. M. M. (1976). *Between Two Worlds: Aspects of the Transition from School to Work*. London: Careers Consultants.
- Scharff, D. E., and Scharff, J. S. (1987). *Object Relations Family Therapy*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.
- _____ (1991). *Object Relations Couple Therapy*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.
- Scharff, J. S. (1989). Play: an aspect of the therapist's holding capacity. In *Foundations of Object Relations Therapy*, ed. J. S. Scharff, pp. 447-461. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1992). *Projective and Introjective Identification and the Use of the Therapist's Self*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Searles, H. F. (1959). Oedipal love in the countertransference. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 40:180-90.

_____ (1963). The place of neutral therapist-responses in psychotherapy with the schizophrenic patient. In *Collected Papers on Schizophrenia and Related Subjects*, pp. 626-653. New York: International Universities Press, 1965.

_____ (1965). *Collected Papers on Schizophrenia and Related Subjects*. New York: International Universities Press.

_____ (1979). *Countertransference and Related Subjects: Selected Papers*. New York: International Universities Press.

_____ (1986). *My Work with Borderline Patients*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Segal, H. (1973). *Introduction to the Work of Melanie Klein*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1981). *The Work of Hanna Segal*. New York: Jason Aronson.

_____ (1991). *Dream, Phantasy and Art*. London: Routledge, Chapman Hall.

Shakespeare, W. H. (1954). *The Tragedy of Romeo and Juliet*. Ed. R. Hosley. Yale Shakespeare ed. New Haven: Yale University Press.

Shapiro, R. L. (1979). Family dynamics and object-relations theory: an analytic, group-interpretive approach to family therapy. In *Adolescent Psychiatry: Developmental and Clinical Studies*, ed. S. C. Feinstein and P. L. Giovacchini, 7:118-135. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Slipp, S. (1984). *Object Relations: A Dynamic Bridge between Individual and Family Therapy*. New York: Jason Aronson.

Socarides, C. W. (1978). *Homosexuality*. New York: Jason Aronson.

Sophocles. (1956). *Oedipus Rex*. In *The Oedipus Cycle of Sophocles, An English Version*, English version by Dudley Fitts and Robert Fitzgerald. New York: Harvest Books.

_____ (1956). *Oedipus at Colonus*. In *The Oedipus Cycle of Sophocles, An English Version*, English version by Dudley Fitts and Robert Fitzgerald. New York: Harvest Books.

_____ (1956). *Antigone*. In *The Oedipus Cycle of Sophocles, An English Version*, English version by Dudley Fitts and Robert Fitzgerald. New York: Harvest Books.

Steiner, J. (1987). The interplay between pathological organizations and the paranoid-schizoid and depressive positions. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 68:69-80.

_____ (1989). *Projective identification and the aims of psychoanalytic psychotherapy*. Paper presented at the Washington School of Psychiatry Object Relations Theory Conference, Washington, DC, November 12, 1989.

Stern, D. (1977). *The First Relationship: Infant and Mother*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

_____ (1985). *The Interpersonal World of the Infant: A View from Psychoanalysis and Developmental Psychology*. New York: Basic Books.

Stolorow, R. D. (1991). The intersubjective context of intrapsychic experience: a decade of psychoanalytic inquiry. *Psychoanalytic Inquiry* 11:171-184.

Stolorow, R. D., Brandchaft, B., and Atwood, G. E. (1987). *Psychoanalytic Treatment: An Intersubjective Approach*. Hillsdale, NJ: Analytic Press.

Sullivan, H. S. (1953a). *Conceptions of Modern Psychiatry: The First William Alanson White Memorial Lectures*. New York: Norton.

_____ (1953b). *The Interpersonal Theory of Psychiatry*. New York: Norton.

_____ (1962). *Schizophrenia as a Human Process*. New York: Norton.

Sutherland, J. D. (1963). Object relations theory and the conceptual model of psychoanalysis. *British Journal of Medical Psychology* 36:109-124.

_____ (1980). The British object relations theorists: Balint, Winnicott, Fairbairn, Guntrip. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 28:829-860.

_____ (1985). *The object relations approach*. Paper presented at the Washington School of Psychiatry, Sixth Annual Symposium on Psychoanalytic Family Therapy, Bethesda, MD, April 1985.

_____ (1989). *Fairbairn's Journey to the Interior*. London: Free Association.

Terr, L. C. (1991). Childhood trauma: an Outline and Overview. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 148:10-20.

Tower, L. (1956). Countertransference. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 4:224-255.

Tronick, E., Als, H., Adamson, L., et al. (1978). The infant's response to entrapment between contradictory messages in face-to-face interaction. *Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry* 17:1-13.

Tustin, F. (1986). *Autistic Barriers in Neurotic Patients*. London: Karnac.

_____ (1990). *The Protective Shell in Children and Adults*. London: Karnac.

Virag, R., Frydman, D. I., Legman, M., and Virag, H. (1984). Intracavernous injection of papaverine as a diagnostic and therapeutic method in erectile failure. *Angiology* 35:79-83.

Volkan, V. D. (1976). *Primitive Internalized Object Relations*. New York: International Universities Press.

_____ (1987). *Six Steps in the Treatment of Borderline Personality Organization*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Whitaker, C. A., and Keith, D. V. (1981). Symbolic-experiential family therapy. In *Handbook of Family Therapy*, ed. A. S. Gurman & D. P. Kniskern, pp. 187-225. New York: Brunner/Mazel.

Williams, A. H. (1981). The micro environment. In *Psychotherapy with Families: An Analytic Approach*, ed. S. Box, B. Copley, J. Magagna, and E. Moustaki, pp. 105-119. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Winnicott, D. W. (1947). Hate in the countertransference. In *Collected Papers: Through Paediatrics to Psycho-Analysis*, pp. 194-203. London: Tavistock, 1958.

- _____ (1951). Transitional objects and transitional phenomena. In *Collected Papers: Through Paediatrics to Psycho-Analysis*, pp. 229-242. London: Tavistock, 1958.
- _____ (1956). Primary maternal preoccupation. In *Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies on the Theory of Emotional Development*, pp. 300-305. London: Hogarth Press, 1965.
- _____ (1958). *Collected Papers: Through Paediatrics to Psycho-Analysis*. London: Tavistock.
- _____ (1960a). The theory of the parent-infant relationship. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 41:585-595.
- _____ (1960b). Ego distortion in terms of true and false self. In *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies on the Theory of Emotional Development*, pp. 140-152. London: Hogarth Press, 1965.
- _____ (1963a). Communicating and not communicating leading to a study of certain opposites. In *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies on the*

Theory of Emotional Development, pp. 179-192.
London: Hogarth Press, 1965.

_____ (1963b). The development of the capacity for concern. In *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies in the Theory of Emotional Development*, pp. 73-82. London: Hogarth Press, 1965.

_____ (1965). *The Maturational Processes and the Facilitating Environment: Studies on the Theory of Emotional Development*. London: Hogarth Press.

_____ (1968). The use of an object and relating through cross-identification. In *Playing and Reality*, pp. 86-94. New York: Basic Books, 1971.

_____ (1971a). *Playing and Reality*. London: Tavistock.

_____ (1971b). The location of cultural experience. *Playing and Reality*, pp. 95-103. London: Tavistock.

Wright, K. (1991). *Vision and Separation between Mother and Baby*. Northvale, NJ: Jason Aronson.

Yogman, M. (1982). Observations on the father-infant relationship. In *Father and Child: Developmental and Clinical Perspectives*, ed. S. H. Cath, A. R. Gurwitt, and J. M. Ross, pp. 101-122. Boston: Little, Brown.

Zetzel, E. (1958). Therapeutic alliance in the analysis of hysteria. In *The Capacity for Emotional Growth*, pp. 182-196. New York: International Universities Press.

Zinner, J., and Shapiro, R. L. (1972). Projective identification as a mode of perception and behavior in families of adolescents. *International Journal of Psycho-Analysis* 53:523-530.